Exhibit No Date

## lax change sends wrong signal HELENA IR - 5/10/07

By IAN DAVIDSON

send the wrong signal to any business considering investing in Montana. As an active businessto Montana's tax laws that, in my opinion, would strong economy on course. man for more than 49 years in this great state, I to attract and maintain businesses and keep our that are applied fairly and consistently in order believe we need clear tax laws and regulations Montana lawmakers are considering a change

proposal to tax real estate investment trusts or REITs, so why should Montana? business person would recognize as legitimate. founded on flawed assumptions that no prudent REITs because it is completely arbitrary and Forty-nine other states have no such tax on Specifically, our legislature should reject the

tana is a great place to do business and a great after speaker reinforced the message that Mon-Chairman Ben Bernanke, former Treasury Sechigh-profile guests such as Federal Reserve Butte that drew some 2,500 people, including ator Max Baucus hosted an economic summit in ing Bill Gates from around the country. Speaker retary Robert Rubin and business leaders includ-Just days after the Legislature adjourned Sen-

place to invest.

investing here. state wants to send to businesses considering islation from the Department of Revenue direct ly conflicts with the message that this great But taxing REITs in the way proposed by leg-

own professionally managed real estate. In promodel that allows even the smallest investor to on their dividends. required of no other type of corporation, includviding that opportunity, REITs must follow rules The stockholders, in turn, must pay income taxes ing paying a minimum of 90 percent of their income in the form of dividends to stockholders. A real estate investment trust is a business

lars in taxes every year in Montana. and condominiums, already pay millions of dol- existing businesses with new arbitrary taxes. REITs, which include some shopping malls

porate income taxes in 2006. million in property taxes and \$1.5 million in corpaid \$3.4 million in Montana payroll taxes, \$3.6 what some would have you believe, Plum Creek paying corporate citizen of Montana. Contrary to Creek, a leading REIT and a long standing taxthat I am the Chairman of the Board of Plum In the interest of full disclosure, I should note

paying jobs with excellent benefits to hundreds of Montanans, and has partnered with conservaresponsible corporate citizen, has provided good spending and employment in the state is more tion and environmental communities to protect than \$650 million annually. The company's total economic impact from thousands of acres of sensitive lands in the state For decades, Plum Creek has proven to be a

ciated Press that Montana is "open for business" environment is "attracting business to Montana." and that our state's existing tax and regulatory nesses to the state and to maintain the business-In March, Governor Schweitzer told The Asso If the state truly aspires to attract new busi-

es that already reside here, it should not burden

ment of Revenue and some lawmakers serves as a disincentive not only to Plum Creek and its businesses considering coming here. It will also cause businesses to wonder "what's next?" future investment in the state, but also to other A tax such as that proposed by the Depart-

pany and of Davidson Companies. lan Davidson is Chairman of Plum Creek Timber Com-

HECENA, MT 59624-6797 Have 406-457-2030 LOE MAZUREK hhvist For D. A. DAVIDSON